Charles H. Clements
1741 Dallas Street
Aurora, Colorado
80010-2018
303.364.0403 vox
e-mail: gryphons@home.com

Attorney General Ken Salazar
1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor
Denver, Colorado 80203

Ref; Grand Jury Indictment 00CR0001
Subject: Petition for Investigation of Criminal Perjury and such other crimes

13 November 2001

Mr. Attorney General Salazar:

On February 19th, 2001, I was arrested for Seventeen (17) crimes as indicted by a State Grand Jury as prosecuted by your Senior Assistant Marleen M. Langfield. The crimes consisted of Sixteen (16) Felonies and one serious Misdemeanor implying a propensity for violence and located on a Jefferson County School Ground.

The Charges have all been dropped as of early October although the Judge's order reached me about three weeks ago.

Of the Sixteen Felonies, totaling some 5 decades of prison time in hazard, I was not ever mentioned, anywhere in the Indictment, in Fifteen of them, nor in the report of the charged Misdemeanor. The charges were laid against me fullknowing that they were without substance. Knowing that I had never been accused, no testimony taken which named me as having any involvement or culpability in any of the Felonies charged.

In reading the transcript and reports of interviews, it comes to my attention that the Grand Jury was presented gross and malicious material perjury by Victoria de Thouars-Tollman, Hector Bonilla, and Arabella T. Bonilla.

The accusation by Hector Bonilla, as well as his testimony about his business dealings and the relationship with his Family and their racketeering influenced criminal organization, was false, misleading, malicious and in furtherance of his mercantile agenda and criminal enterprise. His testimony about a meeting of four men in which he is the only witness to a supposed threat, so-called, is false, misleading and in furtherance of his mercantile agenda and criminal enterprise.
His mother, Arabella Bonilla, is delusional and contradicts herself from one story to another. She may very well be non compos mentis and not responsible for her testimony.

Victoria de Thouars-Tollman fabricated a story about an instance in which I was supposed to have gone to some woman's place of business and threatened her with bodily harm; an entire fantasy. Mrs. Tollman's testimony was the only supporting evidence that gave any credibility to any idea that I was capable of such behaviour as was charged in the count (No. 12 in the Indictment; Criminal Extortion) and which charge was carried forward by Senior Assistant Langfield for some many months.

Mrs. Tollman and I have a long history of her malicious defamation against me. Well over a year prior to her testimony, she slandered me and was shortly after presented with an unfiled Complaint for Damages with which to consult her lawyers for legal advice. Her Family, long friends of mine, approached me to refrain from prosecuting in a sense of compassion and to honor the long friendship and I acceded, to my now chagrin.

Her testimony before the Grand Jury is just another exemplar of her long history of prevarication, fabrication and gross defamation against my character and reputation. A slight diligence of investigation would have revealed that. Any investigation of the fantasy story told to the Grand Jury would have revealed it's incredibility.

I am prepared to prove this in a court of law by irrefutable evidence; 3rd Party eyewitness, logged documentation, video record, postmarked mailing and so on.

I have approached the Colorado State Attorney General's Office to prefer criminal charges against these people and been rebuffed. Ms. Langfield's 'boss' (as identified on telephone- an unintelligible surname) informed me that it isn't within the legislatively described parameters of the Attorney General's scope of jurisdiction. He referred me to the Denver District Attorney's Office.

I spoke with Dep. Dist. Att'y Pedrotti who consulted with Assistant District Att'y Phil Parrott and delivered the opinion that it is a matter for the Attorney General's Office, as the AG's Office simply uses their physical plant for the seating of a Grand Jury, the State Attorney General's Office retaining jurisdiction over criminal perjury in that instant circumstance.

Assistant District Attorney Parrott invites your discussion at your leisure.

I approached the Jefferson County District Attorney's Office to prefer these charges on the theory that the Arrest Warrant, Arrest, Incarceration, Arraignment, Bonding, Court Appearances and Dismissal were supervised by their office and their jurisdiction might apply. They opine that the jurisdiction was retained by your office as well.

Of course, your name is senior on the Indictment (00CR0001) and I would hope that you would move forward on an investigation of the entire situation with the expectation of being advised of my rights to prefer criminal charges against these people who have victimized me, destroyed my business and personal reputation, damaged my relationship with my long-time companion and Mother to my children, as well as my children themselves.

Investigators Estep and Clyman, working in your name, demanded access passwords and codes for my computer access; specifying those necessary for remote access. I gave them, as an option to a ransacking of my home and 'vigorous prosecution', 'as strong as I can bring it'. In addition to any investigatory applications that might have been justified, the access and passwords were used to destroy my business websites, credit card authorization, eBay Auction account and other sorts of web-business access/accounts. The computer criminals destroyed the content of the pages, changed the access password, and withdrew from the site. That denies access to anyone who doesn't know the new password- that being the owner, me.

The password that I use is six digits; four letters, only one of which is a vowel; a type ornament, and a numeral. It is case sensitive and the odds of decrypting it are utterly astronomical. The only persons in possession of my passwords, and with remote access to both my computer and my webpresence, were Investigators Estep and Clyman reporting to Senior Assistant Att'y General Langfield.

They extorted my compliance by reserving that they knew that the bulk of the charges against me were unfounded and everybody knew it but me, as the Indictment was 'sealed'. They committed Computer Crime by destroying Exculpatory Evidence stored as data on the web, political speech to which my sites were linked, a commercial site not even owned by me (American Magnetic Media, Inc.), my leathercraft business, my martial arts video business, my antiques appraisal business, my martial arts seminars business, my publishing business, my access to auction goods on eBay, and personal and professional data and contacts that are irreplaceable and were precious to me.

It is irrefutable, General Salazar, that this situation commands an investigation. I hope your concern with the charges that are filed in your name will give some good impetus to a desire to clear the matter and to determine any failure by your subordinates to rise to your own standard of professional performance and ethical compass.

Very Respectfully submitted,

Charles H. Clements
14 November, 2001